

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,961	12/06/2001	Chien-Min Sung	20236	5672
20551	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP.			ROSE, ROBERT A	
	3180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200 P.O. BOX 1219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANDY, UT			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OS

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/010,961	SUNG, CHIEN-MIN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert Rose	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
•	•		·				
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/010,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 27-53 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Billett. Billett discloses a dressing tool comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above. Note stainless steel or carbide substrate coated with a polycrystalline diamond layer having an array of projections.
- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Myoung et al (US 6439986). Myoung et al discloses a dressing tool comprising all of the subject matter of applicant's claims above. Note various embodiments of dressing tool comprising a substrate of ceramic or metallic material with a pattern of projections coated with a carbonaceous layer of diamond. With regard to claim 2 the height of the "poles" on an intended workpiece is not limiting on the apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,961

Art Unit: 3723

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not

Page 3

persuasive. Applicant's arguments center around the point that the conditioning tools of both

Billett and Myoung et al are intended for conditioning non-fixed abrasive polishing pads, which

are of a different material than the fixed abrasive pads. However, the conditioning tools of

Billett and Myoung et al are not limited in their use. In view of the fact that these references are

applied against apparatus claims, they are still deemed to structurally meet the limitations as

broadly set forth.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at

telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

June 8, 2004.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323